

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,140	06/14/2001		Razgo Lee	744.708	8101
26129	7590	10/18/2002			
CHAN LA		JP LC	EXAMINER		
1055 W. 7TH ST, SUITE 1880				SMITH, JAMES G	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3765	
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u></u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/881,140	LEE, RAZGO				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James G Smith	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 08 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ('condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the date of SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE CONTINUTY OF THE CO	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under				
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	onths after the mailing date of the final rej	ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLEL	to issues which were newly				
	☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 8-19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	· /				
10. Other:		JOHNS CALVERT				
		ISORY PATENT EXAMINER NOLOGY CENTER 3700				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In the Examiner's opinion the amendment does not overcome the Final Rejection of 8/01/02. See specifically paragraph 5.